I. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

Laura Stetson of MIG welcomed Task Force members and the approximately 35 members of the public attending the meeting. This meeting was the sixth in a series of meetings to develop a specific plan for the Inner Harbor area of Redwood City. Following a review of the previous Task Force Meetings and an agenda outline, Ms. Stetson, along with Mr. Rick Barrett (MIG) presented and facilitated a mapping exercise. This exercise was intended to explore the Task Force members' thoughts and opinions on three land use alternatives for the Inner Harbor area. The proposed land use alternatives are meant to serve as “starting blocks” or a “kit of parts” for consideration and conversation.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT

During the first public comment period, members of the public shared comments and suggestions on a variety of themes related to the vision for Inner Harbor.

- Improve Guiding Principles to capture the extent of the Task Force discussions to date
- Incorporation of greenbelt tied to Bay Trail
- Seawall could be used as a adaptive measure
- Focus conversation on vision, less on constraints
- The General Plan emphasized mixed-use waterfront for this area
- Encourage a dynamic and balanced plan
- Public access along the waterfront is a priority
- Add public dock and kayak facility
- Extend Blomquist Street to US 101
- Don’t eat up valuable area (e.g. scenario C)

III. TASK FORCE WORKING GROUPS: REVIEWING GUIDING PRINCIPLES AND DEVELOPING ALTERNATIVES FROM A KIT OF PARTS

Mr. Barrett and Ms Stetson introduced a planning alternatives exercise. The objective of this exercise was to provide the Task Force members with an opportunity to consider, evaluate, and formulate land use alternatives for the Inner Harbor area. The Task Force members divided into 3 groups; each group was given a blank aerial map, three land use scenarios demonstrating the mix-and-match opportunities afforded by the kit of parts, and a set of markers. Each group reviewed the guiding principles, discussed the three proposed land use alternatives, and mapped their vision for the Inner Harbor area. The groups pulled from scenarios presented and/or developed their own ideas on a map. The results from the mapping exercise are detailed below.
### IV. SUMMARIZATION OF COMMUNITY MAPPING EXERCISE

**TABLE 1:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning</th>
<th>Accessibility</th>
<th>Recreation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - Where do we relocate floating community?  
- Be mindful of uses on Malibu Grand Prix property and long-term traffic impacts.  
- Develop mixed-use retail  
- Consider provisions of community benefits to balance impacts including aquatic centers and Marine Science Institute (MSI).  
- BIAC should remain the same, incorporate MSI.  
- Park space should be a priority.  
- Integrate wetlands into park. | - Create pedestrian/bike access to Ferrari property.  
- Improve pedestrian/bike facilities on Maple and Walnut Streets.  
- Bay Trail link along edge of Granite Rock.  
- Additional pedestrian/bike connections across Redwood Creek to One Marina.  
- Bridge across slough.  
- Area along creek should be accessible to public. | - Improve active recreational space.  
- Provide range of recreational options. |

---

**Land Use Typologies**

- **Green** - Green belt, public access space.  
- **Orange** - Blomquist Street  
- **Red** - Improved access  
- **Black** – Development  
- **Dashed Black** - Floating Home Area  

*typologies vary for each group*
### TABLE 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning</th>
<th>Accessibility</th>
<th>Recreation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Trails, bike connections, open space, Blomquist Street, and development are top priorities.</td>
<td>• Walnut Bridge is key connection (via ferry) to Inner Harbor.</td>
<td>• Plan for active recreation opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Relocate floating homes – include in Ferrari property, consider water taxis.</td>
<td>• Provide Bay Trail along the water’s edge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Consider industrial possibilities (medium to heavy industrial use).</td>
<td>• Create additional pedestrian/bike connections across Redwood Creek to One Marina.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Potential for car dealerships?</td>
<td>• Incorporate pedestrian/bike facilities on Walnut Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Create public benefits.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Land Use Typologies**

- **Green** – Open space
- **Dashed Green** – Adaptive sea-level zone
- **Brown** – Development
- **Blue** – Blomquist Street
- **Red** – Access/Bay Area Trail

*typologies vary for each group*
V. PUBLIC COMMENT

Planning

- Create visual connection from highway to the bay.
- Create physical connection from Downtown to the Bay.
- Plan for adaptive retreat from sea level rise: cost effective; no residential or multi-use developments.
- Committed to floating community, supply floating community with ancillary uses.
- Development can fund open space.
- Provide for office or office incubator space.
- No housing on Malibu Grand Prix site.
- Create park with boat access.
- Ferrari property should be the only residential space.
- Maintain BIAC.
- All areas in “green space” (see map) are adaptive to sea-level rise – potential floating amenities.

Accessibility

- Public access is major priority.
- Create promenade along the creek.
- Improve Bay Trail - connection across Redwood Creek.
- Create promenade to connect planning area and Downtown.
- Do not extend Blomquist Street.
- Improve pedestrian/bicycle facilities.

Recreation

- Mix of active and passive uses similar to Shoreline Park.

Land Use Typologies

- **Green** – Adaptive retreat park
- **Dark Green** – Park
- **Red** – High-density development
- **Pink** – Floating promenade
- **Gray** – Improved connection to downtown
- **Blue** – Relocated floating community
- **Black** – Dike
- **Purple** – Bay Trail

*typologies vary for each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning</th>
<th>Accessibility</th>
<th>Recreation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Create visual connection from highway to the bay.</td>
<td>Public access is major priority.</td>
<td>Mix of active and passive uses similar to Shoreline Park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create physical connection from Downtown to the Bay.</td>
<td>Create promenade along the creek.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan for adaptive retreat from sea level rise: cost effective; no residential or multi-use developments.</td>
<td>Improve Bay Trail - connection across Redwood Creek.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed to floating community, supply floating community with ancillary uses.</td>
<td>Create promenade to connect planning area and Downtown.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development can fund open space.</td>
<td>Do not extend Blomquist Street.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide for office or office incubator space.</td>
<td>Improve pedestrian/bicycle facilities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No housing on Malibu Grand Prix site.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create park with boat access.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrari property should be the only residential space.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain BIAC.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All areas in “green space” (see map) are adaptive to sea-level rise – potential floating amenities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V. PUBLIC COMMENT

During the second public comment period, members of the public shared comments and suggestions on a variety of themes related to the vision for Inner Harbor.

- Include the pedestrian walkway under 101 which the City has been planning
- Update the aerial base map to show One Marina’s structures
- Organizations that need to be waterfront, such as MSI are a priority
- The BIAC vessels require 2 feet of dredging
- Land use for the Ferrari property should be considered a potential location for floating homes
- Maps should include Peninsula Yacht Club
- BIAC should be relocated to a different location
- Begin talking about neighborhood as cohesive whole
- The time schedule is too compressed

VI. NEXT STEPS

Ms. Stetson closed by thanking the Task Force and community members for attending.

The next community workshop will be held on February 11th at the Veterans Center in Redwood City at the Veterans Memorial Senior Center at 7:00 PM. The next Task Force meeting will be on February 25th.