<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:00</td>
<td>Welcome/Agenda Review</td>
<td>Laura Stetson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:05</td>
<td>Public Comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30</td>
<td>Plan Review Scenarios</td>
<td>Rick Barrett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Scenario A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Scenario B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:40</td>
<td>Parks and Recreation Considerations</td>
<td>Jim Dudley, Park &amp; Recreation Commissioner/Task Force Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:50</td>
<td>Task Force Evaluation of Plan Scenarios</td>
<td>Laura Stetson, Rick Barrett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:25</td>
<td>Next Steps:</td>
<td>Laura Stetson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If Needed:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Task Force Meeting #11 - Wednesday April 16, 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City Council Update – Monday, May 12, 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30</td>
<td>Adjourn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scenario A
Parks, Recreation, and Open Space: Policy Context

- 2008 Parks and Facility Needs Assessment Study
- 2010 General Plan:
  - Goal BC-1: Provide 3.0 AC for every 1K residents
- 2014 Park Impact Fee Project List
- 2014 Inner Harbor Guiding Principles
  - Vision: To create a unique and vibrant neighborhood and destination...
  - 5. Provide recreation and open space amenities in the Inner Harbor in support of the citywide adopted parkland standard
Parks, Recreation, and Open Space: Current Conditions

- Redwood City Population: 76,815 (2010 Census)
- Redwood City and School District Parkland: 225.4 AC
- Current Parkland Provided: 2.93 AC/1K residents, about 5 AC below the standard overall
- Near term new development: 5,700 more residents
- Land area needed to achieve 3 AC/1K for existing and incoming residents (with school fields included) ~ 22 AC

NOTE: Much worse outlook absent school fields - Redwood City’s 37 Parks total 182.4 AC; equates to 2.37 AC/1K residents
2014 Park Impact Fee Project List: Context with Inner Harbor

1. **Land Acquisition:** Acquire land for active park space as described in the 2008 Parks Needs Assessment which specifically identifies areas lacking in active park space, *especially East of HW 101 where there are no parks* (not including Redwood Shores).

2. **Play Field Use Capacity:** Increase use capacity of athletic fields through the conversion of synthetic turf and adding field lights where appropriate *because existing field space is inadequate.*

3. **Recreational Uses Relevant to the Inner Harbor Area:** Develop trails and other recreational uses in the Hetch-Hetchy ROW, *Redwood Creek, open waterways, and the connection to the Bay Trail System.*
Park Impact Fee – Funds

- Currently ~ $9 M
- Projected Short-term ~ $15M total with firm expectations that approved residential development will move forward into building permits
- Fee per residential unit ~ $10,000
- Reasonable to expect that fees collected for new units in Inner Harbor would most likely be spent in Inner Harbor
- The types of open space, recreation, Bay Trail connection, etc. are eligible for use of these funds
Concept for Playfields in Inner Harbor

- Superimposed on Scenario A; could adjust Scenario B for similar layout
- 2 full-sized 330’ x 210’ regulation soccer fields (also usable for lacrosse) ideally orientated N-S
- Consider lighting: e.g., near County Jail/Granite Rock, which will be/are lighted

- Concepts for Shared Parking locations
- Located away from water and residential uses
- Would activate the area and provide exciting entry point
Redwood City
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